"THIS IS TRUE LIBERTY
WHEN FREEBORN
MEN SPEAK FREE."
.....Euripides

"I was born an American; I live an American; I shall die an American; and I intend to perform the duties incumbent upon me in that character to the end of my career.

I mean to do this with absolute disregard of personal consequences. . .No man can suffer too much and no man can fall too soon, if he suffer, or if he fall, in the defense of the Liberties and Constitution of his country."
-Daniel Webster

# FREE MEN SPEAK

A NATIONAL PATRIOTIC NEWSPAPER FOR ALL AMERICANS

COPYRIGHT 1956 BY FREE MEN SPEAK, INC.

RETURN ADDRESS :
7314 ZIMPEL STREET
NEW ORLEANS 18, LA

BULK RATE
U. S. POSTAGE
PAID
New Orleans, La.
Permit No. 330

FORM 3547 REQUESTED

Subscribers in All 48 States

Vol. II, No. 3 PRICE: $6.00 FOR 24 ISSUES April, 1956 New Orleans, La.

## NEW HAMPSHIRE GOV. HITS HIGH COURT'S RULING ON STATE'S RIGHT TO PROBE REDS

### Infringement' of Rights

By ROBERT J. DRURY

CONCORD—A U. S. Supreme Court ruling limiting the right of the states to punish those who advocate the overthrow of the government was condemned by Gov. Lane Dwinell here yesterday as an "infringement" of states' rights.

Governor Dwinell said he favored an amendment to the federal sedition law which would make it clear that the states have the right to investigate and prosecute subversives.

U. S. Sen. Styles Bridges has reported that corrective legislation will be submitted to Congress next week. A bill amending the federal law has been prepared by Atty. Gen. Louis C. Wyman.

Governor Dwinell reported he was aware of the pending Congressional action and said he endorsed it. He is "very much in accord" with changing the Smith Act, he said.

**Disturbing Decision**

"The U. S. Supreme Court decision was disturbing to me," Governor Dwinell said, "because not only did it seem to greatly restrict the rights of the states to carry on investigations of subversive activities, but equally important, it seemed to have far reaching implications on the whole concept of the relationship between the states and the federal government."

It appears to be an "infringement" on the rights of the states to manage their own affairs, Governor Dwinell said.

Governor Dwinell added that he also felt the states should have the power to continue their subversive probes.

**For Common Protection**

He pointed out that the governments of the individual states and the federal government are similar and the American system government so interlocked that it is in the common protection of all that the states retain their powers to investigate.

Earlier this week Senator Bridges also reported that he was disturbed by the Supreme Court decision and Atty. Gen. Wyman called it a "body blow to the twin causes of anti-communism and states' rights."

The Supreme Court ruled that the states do not have the authority to prosecute those who advocate the overthrow of the federal government. The decision was made in connection with an appeal of the Pennsylvania sedition law. Wyman appeared before the nation's highest court to argue on behalf of the Pennsylvania law. The N. H. Supreme Court has ruled this state's sedition law as constitutional.

Reprinted from Manchester (N.H.) UNION LEADER

## Mental Health: A UNESCO Tool to Force World Gov't

From the column of FLORENCE FOWLER LYONS
In the LEDGER, Montrose, California
March 1, 1956

"This, then, as we see it, is the ultimate goal of mental health - to help men live with their fellows in ONE WORLD." (Emphasis ours).

So speaks the "Mein Kampf" of all the forces behind the so-called "Mental Health" movement.

They wrote their Mental Health "Mein Kampf" in London in 1948, and they titled it: "Mental Health and World Citizenship." And of course, like Kilroy, UNESCO was very much "there" at the writing via Red Frontiers Otto Klineberg and Margaret Mead. This was the founding of the World Federation for Mental Health.

RE-EDUCATION FOR WORLD CITIZENSHIP

And how do they achieve this "ultimate goal" of "one world?"

They recommend: "That the UN organizations consider all possible means of integrating national loyalties to the United Nations and to the world community."

They state: "Principles of mental health cannot be successfully furthered in any society UNLESS THERE IS A PROGRESSIVE ACCEPTANCE OF THE CONCEPT OF WORLD CITIZENSHIP. WORLD CITIZENSHIP can be widely extended among all peoples THROUGH THE APPLICATIONS OF THE PRINCIPLES OF MENTAL HEALTH. (Emphasis ours)

Step by step, this pamphlet blueprints the ways and means of "re-educating," first of all, the lawmakers, so that this NEW DEFINITION OF "SANITY" - MEANING TOTAL DEVOTION AND LOYALTY TO "ONE WORLD" - can be promptly written into law, and thus SILENCE THE OPPOSITION, either through fear, or by seizure and placement in protective custody in an institution for the mentally ill."

Crazy? Impossible? Fantastic? It can't happen here?

ALL GOP AND DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES BACK "ONE-WORLDISM"

Have you read the Feb. 24th issue of U. S. News & World Report?

Please do, and learn that Dwight Eisenhower, Milton Eisenhower, John Foster Dulles, Richard Nixon, Senator Kefauver, Adlai Stevenson, Governors Averell Harriman and Christian Herter, Mennen Williams, Senator Stuart Symington, plus 17 Senators and 156 members of the House are all dedicated, frankly and openly to the death of American national sovereignty and are for the creation of this identical - and - political "one world?"

Read also, that at this moment 11 different resolutions similar to that backed - to - the - hilt by Eisenhower, Dulles, Nixon, Kefauver

SEE MENTAL HEALTH, P.2.

MAY BE A BIT CROWDED FOR THE REGULAR COMMUTERS

Reprinted by special permission of the Chicago Tribune

## SENATOR JENNER RAPS ACHESON-DULLES FOREIGN POLICY

Senator William E. Jenner (R) of Indiana, speaking in the Senate recently, called for a "reexamination of our foreign policy-makers."

WORSE THAN UNDER ACHESON

Our foreign policy has collapsed. The position of the United States before the world is in every respect far worse than it was three years ago, when the voters repudiated Mr. Acheson.

In Asia we have failed to re-arm the anti-Communist nations as fast as the Communist arm their satellites. We have not said one kind word about anti-Communist victories in Viet Nam, Malaya or at Bandung. Instead we denounced Dr. Tsiang for vetoing Outer Mongolia, and agreed to a straw vote on the Security Council, which gave the seat to Yugoslavia, instead of the Philippines.

NO NUCLEAR WEAPONS AGAINST COMMUNISTS

In the Geneva conferences, we made a commitment not to use nuclear weapons in the struggle with Communist agression. Thus the Acheson policy in Korea was made our basic policy. WE THEREBY TOLD THE SOVIET LEADERS THEY COULD SUBVERT OR ATTACK FREE NATIONS WITH IMPUNITY.

DULLES NOT THE MAN FOR AN AMERICA POLICY

Secretary of State Dulles can never make the new foreign policy we need, because:

1) He was a continuous participant in the making of the Acheson policy.

2) He was one of the architects of the "bi-partisan foreign policy" by which effective criticism of the Acheson policy was stopped.

3) He has been a long-time ADVOCATE OF A SUPER-NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY, UNDER WHICH WE WOULD BECOME ONE PROVINCE IN A WORLD STATE.

4) He believes in the cult of the elite, who think they are so intelligent they are under no obligation to tell the truth to the people.

SEE JENNER, P.2.

## *Citizens Council Rally Packs Auditorium*

New Orleans, La., - March 21 (Special)

"Victory must and shall be ours" was the theme of the giant rally held by the New Orleans Citizens Council.

The meeting opened to the stirring strains of "Dixie", the anthem of the Confederacy. A capacity crowd estimated at 8,000 (with several thousand turned away at the door) cheered and applauded each speaker.

JUDICIAL USURPATION

Attorney General Eugene Cook of Georgia opened his keynote address with a blast at the U. S. Supreme Court. He labeled the Supreme Court decision banning segregation as a "judicial usurpation of legislative power". He said the ruling was based "not upon any premise or tenet of law, but solely upon socialogical theories."

Cook also aimed a barb at President Eisenhower, contending "our part - time president" condemns those who defy the Supreme Court ruling as "irresponsible."

"I wonder", Cook asked, "if he realizes that among those people are one-third of the members of the U. S. Congress."

LIBERTIES OF NORTH AND SOUTH AT STAKE

"As long as we bow to it," he said, referring to the decision, "liberties and freedom which we so zealously cherish in both the North and South are doomed".

Cook went on to say that the Supreme Court rejected the traditional rule of constitutional construction and substituted the intent of the court for the intent of the people.

"When the Supreme Court," continued the Attorney General of Georgia, "acts beyond the powers delegated to it, it oversteps its authority, and such acts cannot bind the states from whose people all its powers are derived."

Mr. Cook's speech was frequently interrupted by cheers of applause from the wildly enthusiastic audience.

"BOTH PARTIES AGAINST US"

Another speaker was Roy V. Harris, a member of Georgia's board of regents and publisher of the AUGUSTA COURIER.

Great applause greeted Mr. Harris' statement that "The Republican and Democratic parties are both against us."

At this point murmers of "We'll form a New Party." - "We need a third party", swept over the New Orleans audience.

Mr. Harris continued, "Ike and Adlai are in favor of patience and moderation. Well, this patience and moderation business is like the old fisherman telling the catfish: "Don't you worry little catfish, don't be scared. I ain't gonna do nothing but skin and gut you.'"

APPLAUSE FOR EASTLAND - SILENCE FOR IKE

When the name of Senator James Eastland of Mississippi was mere-

SEE CITIZEN'S RALLY, P. 2.

# NEW PARTY BLOC CAN THROW ELECTION INTO HOUSE

## North-South Conservative Coalition Forming

## THE MYTH OF LAUSCHE'S CONSERVATISM

An indication of the complete desperation of the loyal "party men" of BOTH parties is the frantic dredging up of new names which would be acceptable to Conservatives, as possible candidates for the Democratic ticket. Now that Eisenhower has been ensconced in the driver's seat of the GOP, the conservative "party men" of both parties look upon the Democratic Convention as a last ditch stand for Conservatism.

This is indeed a futile hope, as time will prove, because the ultra-liberal, left-wing Socialist labor forces are in complete control of the Democratic Party. Those conservatives who are loathe to "bolt a party" (which no longer exists in its original form) are clutching at straws. These conservative "party men" of both parties who cannot bear to admit defeat, are not yet ready to recognize the FACT that a NEW PARTY IS THE ONLY ANSWER.

Therefore, it is well that the record of each of the "straws" to which they so tenously cling (as a means of continuing to work within the major parties) be submitted for all Americans to see and to JUDGE.

FRANK LAUSCHE FROM OHIO

We are indebted to HUMAN EVENTS, and to the article by Chesly Manly, for some very important facts concerning Mr. Lausche.

LAUSCHE AND THE SOUTH

Mr. Lausche, as a Democratic candidate, must first be viewed in the eyes of the Southern States because only with the full support of the Southern States can any Democratic Party candidate hope for victory in November.

Mr. Manly recognizes the importance of the segregation question to Southern voters. The "Solid South" is VITAL to a Democratic victory. And yet Mr. Manly states: "Governor Lausche is in a strategic position to stay out of trouble on the segregation issue . . . With the excuse that he is not a candidate for president, he is cannily saying as little as possible about the segregation question."

Mr. Lausche, if he is actually a candidate for the president, should be informed that a "stay out of trouble" attitude will gain him NOT ONE SINGLE VOTE IN THE SOUTH. The South is looking for leaders who will defend States Rights on the segregation question on a completely unequivocal basis . . . no ifs, ands or buts. The Southern Manifesto has put the South on record as to their stand . . . a stand that will accept no compromise position.

Mr. Lausche states that "the Supreme Court's decision must be accepted as law". This statement is sufficient in itself to GUARANTEE that Mr. Lausche COULD NOT CARRY ONE SINGLE SOUTHERN STATE.

The South will also note Lausche's "unassailable civil rights record" and the fact that "for eight years he has urged the adoption of a fair employment practice act". (This FEPC was one of the main bases for the South's walkout in 1948).

WOULD LAUSCHE APPEAL TO CONSERVATIVES OUTSIDE OF THE SOUTH?

Gov. Frank Lausche is relatively unknown in the "grass roots" of America. His name has been mentioned several times since Eisenhower's heart attack. Those, outside of Ohio who know him, know him primarily as a man who claims the distinction that even though a Democrat he, in the privacy of the voting booth, had the courage to vote for Robert A. Taft. His only other claim to fame to the nation as a whole, is that he has

SEE LAUSCHE, P. 2.

## Ike's Police Body on Civil Rights to By-Pass Local Courts

Northern and Western States would do well to watch how closely the Eisenhower Administration follows up the unconstitutional acts of the Supreme Court with force and threats.

On April 9 the Eisenhower Administration asked Congress to create a Federal Civil Rights Commission. This Commission would leave the States with practically no authority in civil rights matters. It proposed that Congress THROW OUT THE PRESENT REQUIREMENT THAT ADMINISTRATIVE AND JUDICIAL REMEDIES IN THE STATES MUST BE EXHAUSTED BEFORE A CIVIL RIGHTS CASE CAN BE TAKEN TO FEDERAL COURT.

Under the proposal anyone displaying "contumacy or refusal to obey a subpoena" could be directed to obey, by a Federal Court. Failure to obey the court order could be punished as contempt of court.

In other words, a "super" Federal Commission would TAKE OVER THE POWERS OF THE LOCAL POLICE AND LOCAL COURTS IN THE INDIVIDUAL STATES.

CONGRESS WILL DECIDE

This "Police Commission" proposal must be voted on by Congress. Rep. Keating of New York, top Republican on the House Judiciary Committee, introduced the proposed legislation. He said it would have "an excellent chance, at least on the House side."

The Senate, however, will present a big hurdle for this punitive legislation to overcome. Sen. Eastland (D. Miss.) heads the Senate Judiciary Committee.

Today the South feels the whip of the ALL-POWERFUL FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. Tomorrow IT WILL BE THE REST OF THE COUNTRY!

THE NEW PARTY CAN WIN IN NOVEMBER

The Democratic Party and the Republican Party are worried! Since the Southern Manifesto which proclaimed the doctrine of States Rights, the nation's leading columnists, both right and left-wing, have written thousands of words on the subject of: "What will the South Do in the Democratic Convention"?

THE SOUTH WILL WALK OUT

The lines have now been closely drawn. No one can expect the South to back down from the principles outlined in the Southern Manifesto. Nor can anyone expect the liberal-Socialists who control the nominating machinery of the Democratic Party to give an inch.

It should be remembered that the South "walked out" and put a States Rights ticket in the field in 1948 on far less provocation.

THE SOUTH IS ORGANIZED ON A POLITICAL BASIS

This imminent walk-out has been planned. EVERYTHING IN THE SOUTH IS HAPPENING ACCORDING TO PLAN ! And the leading political figures in the South are in control.

Yes, and the "grass roots" is organized, too, in the form of Citizens' Councils which have organizations in every city and town.

THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES MAY DECIDE OUR NEXT PRESIDENT

David Lawrence, of U. S. NEWS AND WORLD REPORT, stated that the Southern Manifesto "could result in the formation of a bloc of electoral votes that might throw the next presidential election into the House of Representatives." He then says that such a contingency arises because of "the closeness of the presidential race."

Mr. Lawrence continues: "Thus, if seven doubtful states are not carried by Eisenhower as they were in 1952 and if no states in the Solid South go Republican, the President MIGHT FIND HIMSELF WITH LESS THAN 266 VOTES IN THE ELECTORAL COLLEGE NEEDED TO WIN. The Democratic nominee would likewise NOT HAVE A MAJORITY, because his normal strength in the South would be given over to a third group of electors." (Emphasis ours)

In the Solid South there is a total of 128 votes. If you add 59 "border" states, you then have a total of 187 votes . . . lacking only 79 votes of electing a conservative, Constitution-abiding American president.

The South could possibly go into the electoral college with a maximum ("Solid South" and "Border") of 187 votes.

Can the Democratic Party count as almost certain 187 votes?

Can the Republican Party count as almost certain 187 votes?

THE STRENGTH OF THE DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN PARTIES

With the advent of a third political party, which could possibly garner 187 electoral votes, the Democrats and the Republicans are beginning to start counting.

The South has been "money in the bank" to the Democrats in previous elections. Now they can't count on a single Southern state.

The Eisenhower Republicans depended on the CONSERVATIVE, Taft-Republicans to swing the 1952 election. They were the "balance of power". It is recalled that Eisenhower in August of 1952 was "running like a dry creek" UNTIL THE TAFT REPUBLICANS SWUNG BEHIND EISENHOWER.

And how does the present Eisenhower Administration treat the Taft Republicans? Recently, in answer to a plea from Sen. Knowland that the hierarchy of the present Administration "please" bring some Conservative Republicans into the Presidential campaign, Senator Aiken of Vermont stated: "It's all right to have this (extreme right wing) viewpoint represented in the party council, BUT WE ARE NOT GOING TO TURN THE CAMPAIGN OVER TO THEM".

This is bitter medicine to the Conservative faction of the Republican Party THAT ELECTED EISENHOWER!

THE PERSONAL POPULARITY OF EISENHOWER

The Palace Guard has worked studiously in the past 4 years to build the "myth" of Eisenhower's popularity. He was the "invincible man", but in the past month, some brave columnists have ventured the suggestion that Eisenhower just might lose! Such sentiments will increase as each week passes.

TALLY OF STRENGTH

So . . . . we have a Democratic Party WITHOUT THE SUPPORT OF THE SOUTH.

And we have a Republican Party WITHOUT THE SUPPORT OF THE CONSERVATIVE - TAFT REPUBLICANS.

With a third political party in the field - ONE THAT WILL RALLY THE SOUTH AND THE CONSERVATIVE-TAFT REPUBLICANS - can either the Democrats or the Eisenhower Republicans hope to achieve the needed 266 votes? ????

THROWN INTO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The U. S. Constitution provides that if no candidate for President receives a majority vote in the Electoral College, then the House of Representatives will decide who will be President and Vice President.

Mr. David Lawrence states: "The Constitution says that when the House of Representatives chooses a president, each state delegation inside the House casts ONLY ONE VOTE. It is a majority of 48 votes that decides who shall be president. The South would have 12 votes . . . enough to block a choice unless the Republicans get a majority of House seats in 25 states . . . which THEY DIDN'T DO IN 1954." (Emphasis ours.)

Mr. Lawrence continues: "The Process of selection is CONFINED TO THE THREE CANDIDATES RECEIVING THE HIGHEST NUMBER OF ELECTORAL VOTES FOR PRESIDENT in the election, and THERE CAN BE NO NEW NAME OR COMPROMISE CANDIDATE VOTED ON." (Emphasis ours.)

This means that the House of Representatives is bound to

SEE NEW PARTY BLOC, P.8.

# FREE MEN SPEAK

Published approximately every four weeks by FREE MEN SPEAK, INC., 7314 Zimple Street, New Orleans 18, La.
Kent H. Courtney . . . . . President
Phoebe Greene Courtney . . . . . Editor and Secty.-Treas.

Entered as third class matter at the New Orleans Post Office.


OUR POLICY

This newspaper is dedicated to the fight against LEFTWINGISM IN ANY FORM WHATEVER. There is no difference between a New Deal Democrat and a New Deal Republican.

This newspaper will never align itself with any person or group which preaches hatred and vilification against a race or a group.

Instead, let's conserve our energies for the fight against atheistic Communism and give way ONLY to the emotion of LOVE OF GOD AND COUNTRY.

---

The entire contents of FREE MEN SPEAK are protected by copyright. Upon written request permission may be granted to reprint articles appearing herein.

## Our Thanks

Because Patriotism is not a business, all those who contribute material to this newspaper do so on a free and voluntary basis.

We also thank all those who are sending donations to us every week to get this paper sent to more and more patriots throughout the country. Without this regular support this experiment in Patriotic journalism would not be possible.

SUBSCRIPTION RATE: $3.00 FOR 12 ISSUES

## JENNER FROM PAGE ONE

MR. DULLES IS MR. ACHESON'S IDENTICAL TWIN

Mr. Dulles's foreign policy has been defined as "disengagement of the United States from the periphery, where it touches Soviet power." This was the name Owen Lattimore gave the Acheson policy of abandoning the Nationalists on Formosa. "DISENGAGEMENT" BY US FITS EXACTLY THE SOVIET POLICY OF EVER-EXPANDING CIRCLES OF NEUTRALIZED STATES LIKE AUSTRIA.

A POLICY OF NO OPPOSITION

We are committed by the atomic "cease-fire" at Geneva not to oppose the Communists at the center. We are committed by "disengagement" not to oppose them at the periphery. What opposition need they fear?

Our most serious loss is the planned defeat of the liberty-loving pro-American leadership in the still-free nations. The Soviet Union dramatized the Geneva Conferences to tell the world the United States had succumbed to Soviet propaganda for "peace." But free nations, deprived of leaders who are ready to fight for freedom, are hollow shells, ready to collapse whenever the Soviet leaders decide to strike.

A recent summary of Dulles's stewardship says that Dulles could carry out his "disengagement" policy only because of the "protecting shadow of Eisenhower." Did Mr. Dulles tell President Eisenhower that he was using the President's "protecting shadow" to VIOLATE THE REPUBLICAN PLEDGE OF 1952? Obviously not! Members of the elite are not hamstrung by old-fashioned scruples like loyalty.

CONGRESS MUST MAKE COMPLETE REEXAMINATION

The issue is not over individuals but over the "dual government" in the United States, by which the internationalists are carrying out their "revolution by interchangeable parts."

This dual government cannot be effectively opposed by patriotic officials in the executive branch. Congress must make a complete reexamination not only of our foreign policy, but of the methods of operation of the internationalist "dual government." Then we can easily put an end to the new Communist aggression.

## McCarthy Defends STATES RIGHTS

On April 6 Sen. McCarthy (R., Wis.) accused the Supreme Court of an "unwarranted invasion of state sovereignty" in its decision that individual states cannot prosecute those accused of sedition against the United States. McCarthy went on to say that for 30 years, States have played an important part in investigating and prosecuting those involved in the Communist conspiracy.

The Court's decision, McCarthy said, "not only cripples efforts to prosecute Communists, it also implies a denial of the state rights to investigate Communists."

McCarthy then stated that he would offer a bill in the Senate which will explicitly recognize the concurrent jurisdiction of the States in the anti-subversion field and which, he added, "will leave no doubt about the intent of Congress."

## MENTAL HEALTH FROM PAGE 1.

etc., rest in the baskets of Congress!

ATLANTIC UNION TIMETABLE - ONE YEAR!

And remember, one piece of propaganda from the Atlantic Union Committee (now tax-exempt, altho frankly created by Milton Eisenhower, Clare Booth Luce and Company as a means of pure-political-action to protect the tax-exempt status of the Mother-Body; Federal Union, Inc.,) boasts that IT WOULD ONLY TAKE A YEAR AFTER THE "CONVENTION" to "explore the possibilities" of political world union these resolutions call for . . . TO SEE THE TOTAL DEATH OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, HER CONSTITUTION, CONGRESS, COURTS - THE WORKS - AND THE TOTAL OPERATION OF THE NEW "ONE-WORLD" GOVERNMENT!

UNESCO'S AIM - DESTROY LOVE OF COUNTRY

And in the same magazine, read how UNESCO and such as this UNESCO-financed mental health "world citizenship" program, with its deliberate and scientific attacks on patriotism, plunged so many young Americans, captured by the Red Chinese, into the arms of the Communist "brainwash".

This is the same philosophy THAT TEACHES OUR YOUTH THAT THEY SHOULD NOT BE LOYAL OR DEVOTED TO THEIR NATION OR THEIR FLAG, and that deliberately keeps from them in their schoolrooms and school books, the knowledge of their nation's history, economic system and form of government.

Read, American, and weep! And never say again: "It can't happen here!"

You can get: "MENTAL HEALTH AND WORLD CITIZENSHIP" from: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION for MENTAL HEALTH, Inc., 1790 Broadway, New York 17, New York. Price 35 cents.

## EXTRA COPIES AVAILABLE.

**IF YOU WANT TO HELP SPREAD THE MESSAGE OF PATRIOTISM CONTAINED IN "FREE MEN SPEAK", BUY EXTRA COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION TO YOUR FRIENDS, EMPLOYEES, CIVIC CLUBS**

**These will be shipped to you IN BULK, postage PREPAID, ready for you to address, mail or distribute**

| | |
|---|---|
| 10 Copies | $1.00 |
| 25 Copies | 2.00 |
| 50 Copies | 4.00 |
| 100 Copies | 8.00 |
| Over 100 Copies | $8.00 per 100 |
| 1,000 Copies | $80.00 |

(Note: Last Fall our printing costs were increased due to the nation-wide hike in newsprint prices. We tried for 6 months to absorb this increase but find that we can no longer do so. Hence the new prices as indicated above.)

## LAUSCHE FROM PAGE ONE

fought labor goons . . . and won.

However, the issues at stake in the next Presidential election are far greater than merely fighting labor, or the efficient managment of one's state in the capacity of Governor.

America as a nation will survive or go down into ashes . . . depending upon the foreign policy of the next president. The first and primary requisite of any presidential candidate is HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THE COMMUNIST - SOCIALIST - INTERNATIONALIST CONSPIRACY TO DESTROY AMERICA.

FOREIGN POLICY

Mr. Manly states: ". . . the governor does not pretend to omniscience in foreign affairs; he acknowledges that one must have direct contact with the problems involved and full information about specific situations to become an expert in that field."

Fellow Americans, one does not need "omniscience in foreign affairs" to KNOW WHAT IS GOOD FOR AMERICA!

Gov. Lausche supports Eisenhower's foreign policy.

Is the Eisenhower Party's foreign policy good for America?

May we offer Mr. Lausche a very simple yardstick for measuring what's good for America, which will relieve him of the job of becoming "omniscient" in foreign affairs?

Mr. Lausche should weigh every foreign policy question in these respects:

(1) Does this policy PUT THE INTERESTS OF AMERICA FIRST?

(2) Is this a policy that will NOT ADD ONE IOTA TO THE PRESTIGE OF COMMUNIST COUNTRIES?

(3) Does this foreign policy SEEK TO DESTROY THE COMMUNIST DOMINATION OF THE WORLD?

Mr. Lausche should realize that this is a war of SURVIVAL.

FOREIGN AID

And then to complete the picture of Gov. Lausche's complete adherence to the Eisenhower Party's foreign policy, Mr. Lausche states that the United States "must have allies in this world and must give them financial assistance with which to strengthen their economies and their defenses."

Mr. Lausche, apparently, is in favor of taking the American taxpayer's money to support Socialist governments abroad. This theory of foreign aid as a bulwark against Communism was completely disproved in France, where DESPITE THE MILLIONS OF AMERICAN TAXPAYERS MONEY POURED INTO FRANCE . . . THE COMMUNISTS IN FRANCE TODAY HAVE MORE INFLUENCE THAN EVER BEFORE!

UNITED NATIONS

According to Chesly Manly, Lausche supports the United Nations "as a needed forum through which the nations of the world become better acquainted". And then the article continues: "Yet unlike President Eisenhower and most of his advisers, including Brother Milton, Lausche is against world government and other supranational federation schemes."

The above statement should PROVE to all readers that Mr. Lausche, though a sincere American, IS COMPLETELY UNAWARE OF THE MENACE THAT THE UN PRESENTS TO AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY. (Companion articles in FREE MEN SPEAK give facts of the UN) This frightening naivete as expressed by Gov. Lausche should cause all Conservatives to pause and consider whether he has ANY qualifications for the Presidency.

We hope this brief review of what Frank Lausche stands for will alert Conservatives, both Democrats and Republicans - in the North and the South - not to be misled by the myth of his "Conservatism."

## Your Checklist on the UN

### The Truth About This International Organization By KARL HESS

**Reprinted by special permission from THE AMERICAN MERCURY**

**Communists in UN.** In 1952, the publication *U.S. News and World Report* cited an "informed estimate" that as many as *fifty percent* of the 1,300 policy-level staff members of the UN were either Communists or willing to follow Communist directions.

**Korean Casualties.** More than 90 percent of all casualties by the "UN forces" in Korea were sustained by American troops. Twenty-five thousand six hundred and four Americans died in the Korean "police action." More than 103,000 were wounded; over 7,000 were listed as missing.

**Korean Cost.** More than 90 percent of the expenses of the "UN action" in Korea were paid by the United States taxpayer.

**Individual Interference.** Dean Acheson, former Secretary of State, has made it clear that the scope of the UN is not limited to discussions of national and international matters at a governmental level. The UN, he said, "amounts to an international legislative system" that is empowered to "deal with the individual and the rights of the individual" in any nation belonging to the UN.

**Nationalism.** Love of country is particularly and specifically discouraged in a UNESCO publication entitled *Principles of Social Reconstruction.* It condemns "bigoted nationalism" in history books. A sequel to it regards *any* degree of nationalism as undesirable.

**Presidential Authority.** In 1954, in the preamble to an executive order, President Eisenhower openly acknowledged that he now considered the UN as much a source of his authority as the Constitution of the United States. He wrote: "Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution, statutes and treaties of the States, including the Charter of the United Nations, and as President of the United States, it is hereby ordered as follows. . . ."

**Industry Seizure.** Chief Justice Vinson and two other justices of the Supreme Court upheld President Truman's seizure of the steel industry by direct reference to the United Nations. They reasoned that inasmuch as the UN charter pledged this country to resist aggression and that in view of the fact that the steel industry was vital to defense (during the Korean War), this international fiat gave the President the right to seize and operate a privately owned American industry.

**Soviet Expansion.** During the years of the existence of the United Nations, the Soviet Union has enslaved some 650,000,000 additional persons, *about a quarter of the human race.*

When the UN began, the Soviet held 200,000,000 persons in slavery. Now they hold 850,000,000.

**Government Advisors.** A report describing the manner in which the UN sends personnel to administer technical-assistance programs sets a significant pattern for the future "internationalizing" of domestic governments: "A most important innovation . . . was the recommendation that high-level technical consultants from abroad be appointed to top advisory positions in the regular government service."

**Human Rights.** According to Dr. Charles Malik of Lebanon, chairman of the UN commission that drafted the organization's Covenant of Human Rights, "I think a study of our proceedings will reveal that the amendments we adopted . . . responded for the most part more to Soviet than to Western promptings."

**Prejudice.** Under the Genocide Convention of the United Nations, a person who, according to the UN, libels or injures the feelings of any member of a group may be charged with an attempt to exterminate that group and may be tried in a court of the UN's designation — not necessarily a court in the defendant's own land!

**Soviet Votes.** While the United States enjoys only *one* vote in the UN, the Soviet Union has been granted *nine.*

## CITZENS RALLY FROM PAGE 1.

ly mentioned in one of the speeches, it was greeted by a burst of applause and cheers. But when President Eisenhower's name was mentioned, there was dead, stony silence.

When, later in his speech Mr. Harris mentioned the names of Kefauver, Soapy Williams Harriman and Hubert Humphrey, and said "they want to send in troops to make us obey the Supreme Court", a wave of prolonged boo's and catcalls swept the audience at the mention of each separate name.

POLITICAL ACTION

"The answer to the problem is political", said Louisiana Senator William Rainach, chairman of the Louisiana Joint Legislative Committee on Segregation. And he continued, "The decision was political, brought about by pressure on the Supreme Court. We will completely overthrow that decision before we are through. We will completely solve the problem and we will do it entirely within the law."

DOOR-TO-DOOR CAMPAIGN

The occasion of the rally was the kick-off for a door-to-door campaign in New Orleans to sign up members in the Citizens Council.

Senator Rainach said: "By the time the State Legislature meets in May we should have 150,000 members in Louisiana."

Rainach concluded by saying that a united effort by groups such as the Citizens Councils will "put government back into the hands of people who know how to run it."

The giant rally adjourned with the announcement that in early May another rally would be held, and that larger facilities would be found to take care of the overflow crowd that had been turned away at the door.

## late flash!

New Orleans, La., April 7 - (Special)

The Citizens Councils of America, a group planning to consolidate the various councils that have been local in scope, was organized in New Orleans today when delegates from eleven states met at the Roosevelt Hotel.

State Senator William M. Rainach of Summerfield, La., acted as spokesman for the group and issued the following statement:

"Delegates from eleven states met in New Orleans today and formed the Citizens Councils of America. The following states were represented:

"Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia.

## Foreign Aid Aids Reds

(The following are excerpts from a broadcast by Dean Clarence Manion

Exactly how foreign to American interest is our Foreign Aid? Aside from the maintenance of our military establishment, Foreign Aid is the most expensive program ever undertaken by the United States Government.

U. S. PAYS PUBLIC DEBTS OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES WITH U.S. TAX PAYER'S MONEY.

Published estimates of the total amount that American Taxpayers have contributed to foreign countries since the end of the war range all the way from 51 billion to 67 billion dollars. More than three billion dollars of this incredibly huge foreign give-away was used to reduce the public debt of the RECEIVING nations.

In this phase of the give-away operation, the United States INCREASED its own national debt by three billion dollars, so that foreign governments could REDUCE their national debts by the same amount. This single three billion dollar item is NOW COSTING THE AMERICAN TAXPAYERS NINETY MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR IN INTEREST CHARGES.

WHAT DID WE GET?

What have we received in return for the hand-out of all of these foreign aid billions? Has the hand-out program produced good-will for the United States and strengthened the defenses of the free world against Communism?

Is there now any place on earth where affection and respect for the United States is greater and where the prestige of our government is higher than it was ten years ago?

Where in the free world are those protective bastions against the spread of Communism that our multi - billion dollar give-aways were supposed to construct?

WE PAY AND REDS WIN

Right in the face of our continued world-wide generosity, the Kremlin gangsters have QUADRUPLED THE POPULATION OF THEIR SLAVE EMPIRE AND EXTENDED THE IRON CURTAIN TO INCLUDE MORE THAN A THIRD OF THE EARTH.

"AMERICA LAST" PHILOSOPHY

Our Foreign Aid experts tell us that we must be carefully considerate of the attitudes of foreign governments; otherwise, these governments may REFUSE to take our money! Mr. Harold Stassen said as much to the Senate Permanent Investigating Subcommittee a few days ago.

The Senators wanted to know why we are giving our foreign aid money to nations which are selling vital strategic war materials to Soviet Russia and to Red China.

"ALLIES" SHIP TOOLS OF DESTRUCTION TO REDS.

Senator McClellan revealed that, with the official but top-secret consent of the United States Government, our "allies" have been shipping 200 separate items of STRATEGIC MACHINES AND MACHINE TOOLS TO COMMUNIST GOVERNMENTS for the past two years. Of these items, the Senator listed four types of mills and machines, some of which sell for half a million dollars each.

"BY PERMITTING THE NEWEST MACHINE TOOLS TO BE SENT TO THE SOVIET BLOC, WE ALLOWED THEM TO SAVE FROM THREE TO FIVE YEARS IN ENGINEERING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT," stated Senator McClellan.

COMMUNISTS DESIGN AMERICA'S PROGRAM OF SELF - DESTRUCTION

Just who has been designing this program for American self - destruction since the end of World War II? Congressman Lawrence Smith of Wisconsin came up with the answer to this question on the floor of the House of Representatives on March 6. (Congressional Record - March 6, 1956, Appendix Page A2058.) Said Congressman Smith: "LESS THAN 95 DAYS AGO, THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY IN CHICAGO, ADVISED ITS MEMBERS AND FELLOW - TRAVELERS TO 'PRESS FOR ALL - OUT FOREIGN AID'."

The Congressman then quoted from "Political Affairs", the Communist propaganda magazine, which singled out the following major Communist fighting objectives, as follows:

1. A fight for disarmament.
2. A fight to restore normal trade relations between America and the Communist World.
3. The fight for a policy of large-scale economic aid, without strings, to the so-called underdeveloped countries.

Continued the Communist magazine: "The Communist Party has a vital and decisive role to play in helping these movements unfold and reach their objectives."

Congressman Smith explained why the Soviets press for all-out Foreign Aid without strings, limitations or conditions. They do so, he says, because "it fits the SOVIET PATTERN OF BLEEDING CAPITALISM TO DEATH. It conforms to the Kremlin scheme of undermining the economy of the Free World. WHEN THAT IS ACHIEVED, THERE WILL BE CHAOS, ANARCHY AND TURMOIL. COMMUNISM WILL THEN STEP IN LIKE A POLITICAL VULTURE AND DEVOUR THE WORLD."

## NEW PARTY BLOC FROM PAGE 1.

vote for ONLY THOSE NAMES FOR WHOM THE PEOPLE HAVE CAST VOTES. In order for the people to cast a vote . . . YOU MUST HAVE A NEW POLITICAL PARTY.

THE "DIXIECRAT" MOVEMENT OF 1948

The States Righters . . . the Dixiecrats . . . carried 4 Southern States. In those states they beat both Truman and Dewey! BUT . . it was, and they knew it, a protest - a gesture only.

THE NEW POLITICAL PARTY WILL BE FORMED

At this writing the only section of the country to take concrete political action is the South. The SOUTH WILL HAVE A NEW PARTY AND A CANDIDATE. This candidate will carry the Southern States and will amass a certain number of votes.

THIS CANDIDATE MAY WELL BE THE "THIRD NAME" ON THE BALLOT IN THE HOUSE OF REPREXENTATIVES.

IT MUST BE A NATIONAL NEW PARTY

For America to have a Conservative, Constitution-abiding President, the South's defense of States Rights must be projected on a NATIONAL BASIS. It is preferable, and devoutly to be hoped, that this Southern States Rights movement will result in the selection of a Northern States Rights Republican and a Southern States Rights Democrat as standard bearers for the new political party. In this way a genuine coalition of Conservatives will be formed - on a nation-wide basis.

Information has reached us that a preliminary meeting between the leaders of the Southern movement and a leader of the Northern conservative faction has already taken place.

NEW PARTY MUST ALSO BE FORMED IN NORTH AND WEST

Outside of the South and a few western states, it is a formidable job to put a New Party on the ballot.

However, formidable though it may be - IT WILL BE DONE IN SOME NORTHERN AND WESTERN STATES - due to the dedication and steadfastness of the advocates of a New Party.

The importance of forming New Party organizations in States outside of the South cannot be over-emphasized. Every State outside of the South that the New Party can carry MEANS THAT THAT STATE HAS BEEN DENIED TO THE DEMOCRATS OR THE REPUBLICANS IN THEIR QUEST FOR THE NEEDED 266 ELECTORAL VOTES . . . thus helping to GUARANTEE that the election will be thrown into the House of Representatives.

HOW CAN THE CONSERVATIVE VOTER HELP ELECT A CONSERVATIVE PRESIDENT?

The South can make a stand BUT IT CANNOT WIN ALONE. TO WIN . . . . Conservative Americans of all the States MUST JOIN TOGETHER TO DEFEND CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT.

How? you say. In some States such as Pennsylvania, Indiana and Ohio, the deadline for filing for Presidential electors for a new political party has already passed.

BUT THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT CITIZENS OF THOSE STATES CAN'T VOTE FOR A CONSERVATIVE FOR PRESIDENT.

NEW CONGRESS WOULD CHOOSE CANDIDATES

We return to Mr. David Lawrence who stated: "The new Congress . . . the one elected next November . . . would be the one to choose a president and vice-president if no candidate gets a majority in the electoral college. It would have from January 3 to January 20 to make the selection."

RUN CANDIDATES FOR THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

In many local elections in previous years, the voters have been given a choice of a Democrat, a Republican and an "Independent" - a man who does not belong to either of the two leading parties - a man who STANDS FOR CERTAIN PRINCIPLES. And such is the unpredictableness of the American people - who love an "underdog" - the "Independent" frequently wins the election!

Insofar as we believe that the November presidential election will be thrown into the House of Representatives, IT IS OF THE UTMOST IMPORTANCE THAT CONSERVATIVE AMERICANS PARTICIPATE MOST ACTIVELY IN THE ELECTION OF THEIR CONGRESSMEN IN NOVEMBER. Remember, it is these men, who, together with the rest of their State's Congressional delegation . . . will cast ONE vote. ONE VOTE OUT OF 48 TO DECIDE WHO THE NEXT PRESIDENT WILL BE!

As Senator Jenner said: "Put none but Constitutionalists on guard in Congress!"

IT'S EASY

To run as an "Independent" candidate for the U. S. House of Representatives the candidate CANNOT BE A MEMBER OF EITHER THE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN PARTIES. He has to gather a certain number of signatures on a nominating paper . . . . not a large amount, and he in some cases must put up a certain sum of "earnest" money, (again - not a large amount).

The "Independent", because he belongs to neither political party does not have to meet an early primary deadline. He meets the Republican and Democratic Congressional candidates in the General Election in November.

The entire House of Representatives is up for election in November. This means the Conservatives in each Congressional District, should start immediately to make preparations to run an "Independent" candidate for the House of Representatives in November.

QUALIFICATIONS OF THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE

A tremendous responsibility will devolve on this man. Therefore, he must be a man WHO IS PUBLICLY DEDICATED TO VOTE FOR THE NEW PARTY CANDIDATE WHEN THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OF 1956 IS THROWN INTO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. He must be a man dedicated to the Constitution. . . to the preservation of States Rights . . . a man who realizes that the Welfare State advocated by BOTH the Democratic and the Republican Parties is a SLAVE STATE.

MONEY RAISING IN YOUR CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

It should be easy to raise money for the local campaign of the INDEPENDENT candidate. There are some business men, who, not wishing to "take sides" in a political matter, will give equally to all candidates. This, financial support will also be available to the INDEPENDENT who is running for Congress from the home district.

SELECT YOUR CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE CAREFULLY

It is of vital importance that your INDEPENDENT candidate for the House of Representatives be a man you can trust. This man will represent YOU in the caucuses of your State's Congressional Delegation when they decide HOW to cast their ONE vote.

VICTORY IS POSSIBLE

Victory for the Conservatives of America is now a distinct possibility.

In summing up, we say that if neither the Democrats or the Republicans get 266 votes in the electoral college (and we do not believe either will), then the House of Representatives will choose the next President.

It is the men that YOU SELECT AS YOUR "INDEPENDENT" CANDIDATES FOR THE U. S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WHO CAST THE VOTE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT AMERICA BECOMES A SOCIALIST DICTATOR STATE.

## I Want to Help in the NEW PARTY Movement!

I REALIZE THAT A POLITICAL CAMPAIGN WHICH WILL RESULT IN GRASS-ROOTS AMERICANS NAMING OUR NEXT PRESIDENT, COSTS MONEY.

I ENCLOSE HEREWITH A CONTRIBUTION IN THE AMOUNT OF $_____ FOR CAMPAIGN EXPENSES.

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

NOTE: PLEASE MAIL THIS COUPON TO: INTERIM COMMITTEE FOR A NEW PARTY P. O. Box 4168, New Orleans, La.

# THE RECORD OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

## NATIONALIST CHINA AND OUR 1956 ELECTIONS

### By Geo. S. Montgomery, Jr.

(Noted author of THE RETURN OF ADAM SMITH, graduated from Dartmouth College in 1917 and from Harvard Law School in 1923. Has practiced law, specializing in corporation and financial law, in New York since 1923.)

"I have strongly recommended in the past as a matter of military urgency that under no circumstances must Formosa fall under Communist control. Such an eventuality would at once threaten the freedom of the Philippines and the loss of Japan, and might well force our western frontier back to the coasts of California, Oregon and Washington."

GENERAL DOUGLAS MacARTHUR

"On the Chinese mainland can be seen the rapid and intense building-up of artillery emplacements and air power with the number of observable jet planes increasing at an alarming rate."

AN OBSERVER FROM QUEMOY

A State Department spokesman on December 14, 1955, at the height of the infamous "package deal" controversy in the United Nations, made the following statement: "The United States has never cast a veto. It announced some weeks ago that with respect to membership it would not thwart the will of the majority, and would not use the veto regardless of its views on the qualifications for membership of Outer Mongolia or the European Soviet satellites". Immediately prior to this statement, the Chief Executive of the United States, despite a restricted schedule, found the time and energy to direct three personal efforts to browbeat our loyal friend, Nationalist China, into acquiescing in the blackmail plot.

These words and these acts taken by themselves represent a sufficient humiliation for the American public. Viewed together, they establish, irrefutably, the moral bankruptcy in world affairs to which our Administration has brought us.

On the one hand, the State Department declares that our representatives are neutral on the question of new members in the United Nations, implying that however distasteful the majority decision may be, we will play the role of magnanimous and altruistic bystander going along with the majority in true democratic fashion. Take these words at their face value. Was there ever a greater stultification of the character and moral stamina of a great nation? For whom are our representatives acting when they stand idly by while great issues of moral significance are being decided? The present White House incumbent and the political party, under whose emblem he was elected in 1952, promised solemnly to the American people in the cause of freedom and for the benefit of the hundreds of millions of captives behind the Iron Curtain that the freedom of these slaves would be a matter of utmost concern to the new administration. How dare then, the representatives selected by this administration countenance the recognition of the captor satellite governments and their reception into the United Nations, confirming and perpetuating their brutal regimes? Do the fervent desires of 160,000,000 American people have no weight with our representatives in the United Nations? Obviously not, and here we find further confirmation of the incredible fact that a man may be at once a traitor to America and its representative in the United Nations.

This posed, pious neutrality of our State Department, then, would be disturbing enough if sincere. But consider what unfolds when we observe the simultaneous acts of the Chief Executive giving the lie to State Department hypocrisy. Neutrality? The Chief Executive was not neutral. The Chief Executive, far from merely bowing his head to majority rule in the United Nations, vigorously joined the pack led by the Soviets and became a ringleader in the mass blackmail and bribery attempt on our staunch ally, Nationalist China. The bribe was to be a guarantee of continued membership in the United Nations for Nationalist China UNTIL 1957.

What have these unsavory facts to do with the coming 1956 elections? Nothing -- if a certain plot ably conceived and brazenly flaunted before the American public, is successful. Everything -- if this plot is defeated, and every conscientious American should do everything in his power to contribute to such defeat.

#### THE PLOT

#### THE DESERTION OF NATIONALIST CHINA

Here is the plot. As indicated, no overt acts are to occur while the American elections are in progress. Then in November with the second term assured, the plotters immediately will launch a move to seat Japan in the United Nations and to unseat Nationalist China. The United States will support Japan's entry and will encounter Soviet Russia's threat to veto as long as Nationalist China remains seated. This threat of veto by the Soviet, as our diplomats, well trained in genuflection to the Kremlin, will tell us, renders it quite impossible to get our friend Japan into the United Nations without deserting Nationalist China. SO WE WILL DESERT NATIONALIST CHINA. What else, in all reason and justice and facing up sensibly to realities, can we do? Of course our hand will not bear the dagger. We may even wring our hands despairingly from the side lines as the "majority" for which we have such respect, declines to accept the credentials of the Nationalist Chinese Government as the proper representative of the Republic of China in the United Nations. And then as the final move is made, and the same "majority" finds the credentials of Red Communist China quite satisfactory, our representatives will not merely wring their hands in despair, but actually shed tears in the face of such cruel fate, powerless, of course, to avert it, because no veto is possible. No veto is possible! You may be sure that our own experts, who are in the very middle of this nefarious plot, will be able to assure us solemnly that "no veto is possible!" And so the demands of Senator Knowland and the fervent desires of millions of Americans will be completely bypassed -- public opinion will simmer for a bit -- and then accept, without too much disturbance, Mao Tse-Tung, the murderer and torturer of tens of thousands of American youths, in the place of our trusted ally, Chiang Kai-shek.

There is the plot, and so certain of success are the plotters, contemptuous as usual of any possible moral resistance by the American public, that no effort is made to conceal any of the moving parts of the machine. They have even announced the site for the final act. Rome! The General Assembly will meet in Rome shortly after the November American elections. What an appropriate spot for an assassination! The dagger in the back, the toss to the lions! Much easier to bemoan a stabbing on the Tiber than a stabbing on the East River. How shameful! How incredible! If the second term candidate sees justification for this betrayal, why does he not play openly, the role of Cassius, striking the dagger home with his own hand rather than slinking in the shadows like a jackal waiting for the kill? Slinking in the shadows will not conceal the part of the jackal. The shoddy pretense of winning the friendship of Japan by betraying the friendship of Nationalist China will only serve to lose both potential friends as well as the respect of the entire world.

#### FORMOSA WILL BE LOST

The final act in the tragedy will represent a betrayal, not merely of our ally, but of the vital self-interest and security of our own nation as so earnestly presented by General Douglas MacArthur. With the inevitability of a Greek tragedy, FORMOSA IS TO FALL UNDER COMMUNIST CONTROL. Formosa will be transferred to the tender ministrations of trusteeship under the United Nations. Will our Chief Executive, who bends the knee to the voice of the Kremlin as the law of the world and of the United Nations and certainly of any trustee acting thereunder, have the temerity to pretend that any such trusteeship however garbed in verbiage, will not place Formosa under the heel of the Communists?

If this peaceful method of betrayal does not succeed, the American people will be presented with the bloody alternative threat of World War III, with the necessity of putting every naval and air force potential into action between Formosa and the Chinese mainland. We are deliberately permitting the Communists on the mainland, every day that passes, to make this fighting alternative more terrible for us. We are restraining the Nationalist Chinese from interfering, in the slightest degree, with the building-up on the Chinese mainland of the striking force of Communist China and this includes specifically the building by the Red Chinese of causeways to the islands of Quemoy and Matsu under the very guns of the Nationalist Chinese which we keep silent. Can there be any question but that the CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S POLICIES ARE LEADING US TO THE FINAL DESTRUCTION OF OUR VITAL INTEREST IN THE FAR EAST OR AN UNNECESSARY BLOODY WAR TO OVERCOME THE TREMENDOUS BUILD-UP TO WHICH WE ARE NOW GIVING OUR ASSENT?

GEORGE S. MONTGOMERY, Jr.

#### THE SECOND TERM

The second term for the present incumbent HAS BEEN A PART OF THE GREAT PLOT. It is not regarded as entirely essential, but why gamble on a new leader, who, no matter how amenable he appears as a candidate, might, in the words of Joseph Conrad, "prance" when he came to power. A second term by proxy would be of course equally satisfactory -- Brother Milton, for instance, or someone similarly under control, who on receiving the blessing of the retiring President, at a time too late for effective opposition, would ride to victory on the strength of his sponsor. As a matter of fact, prior to February 29, 1956, the leftist press seemed to be swinging more and more to the strategy of a second term by proxy. This involved the bold policy of telling the American people that the only American who can safely select their next President is this man now retiring as such! The reason for this shift was no humanitarian consideration of personal health. It arose from a cold-blooded fear that the personal second term might be interrupted, and so far there has been no certain way of designating, in advance, the Vice Presidential candidate. The leftists, despite their chorus proclaiming the popularity of the 1952 candidate, know better than their rightist opposition, THAT IS WAS ONLY BECAUSE THE RIGHT WING OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY, ALMOST WITHOUT EXCEPTION, SWUNG INTO THE LAST PHASE OF THE ELECTION THAT BROUGHT THE VOTERS, HOPEFUL OF A REAL CHANGE, TO THE POLLS. The leftists, therefore, while reasonably certain that the stupidity of the present Republican leadership will continue to play into their hand by permitting the left to select for the right, the right candidate for the left, nevertheless would like to avoid the risk, however slight, that a real American candidate might, despite smears, boos and catcalls, emerge in the Vice Presidential campaign. Hence the move for a second term by proxy with the controlled candidate carrying no odds against surviving for a four-year term. The announcement on February 29 of the present incumbent's readiness for a second term in no way eliminates the danger OF LATER REVERSION TO THE STRATEGY OF A SECOND TERM BY PROXY. The announcement was calculated to force the withdrawal of certain strong candidates who were developing increasing prestige, with the result that time now plays with the President. But there is no slightest assurance that he will not at the latest moment reverse his decision and force his own designee on a demoralized Republican Party. Any such chicanery would rank as a minor misdemeanor by comparison with other breaches of faith marking his record.

If we could only persuade conservative American candidates to stay in the field!

#### CAN THE PLOT BE FOILED?

What can American citizens do to prevent the seemingly inexorable succession of events leading, from the point of view of morality and security, to ultimate disaster nationally and internationally? Only one thing, in my opinion -- MAKE THIS PLOT A BASIC ISSUE IN THE 1956 ELECTIONS. For this issue involving morality, integrity and security is symbolic of all of the other issues at stake in this critical year of 1956.

It seems to me befitting that a message dealing with the 1956 elections should include a positive statement on the subject of Nationalist China and conditions in the Far East. It gives one an opportunity of showing how the leaders, Syngman Rhee and Chiang Kai-shek, tower as giants in moral stature, over the pygmy leader of our own nation.

The unfortunate personal experience of the present Chief Executive in September terminated my one-man campaign against a second term but now the situation necessitates speedy revival. So I say, "To all Good Republicans -- a known enemy in the open is better than a false friend in ambush. In 1956 defeat Eisenhower even if you elect Stevenson!". Or, as Benjamin Franklin said nearly 200 years ago -- "An open foe may prove a curse but a pretended friend is worse".

#### THE CITIZEN AND THE CAMPAIGN

I believe that every voting citizen should demand of every presidential candidate specific answers to the following questions:

"1. Will you support affirmatively with all the authority, moral and material, of the United States, Nationalist China and its regime on Formosa and its position in the United Nations?

"2. Will you affirmatively denounce any policy which would trade, for the admissions of Japan or any other nation into the United Nations, desertion and betrayal of Nationalist China?

"3. Will you affirmatively oppose with all the authority and power of the United States any effort, whatever form it may take, to admit Red Communist China into the United Nations?"

And for the second term candidate a special question which should be asked immediately --

"4. If there is no basis for believing in any plot to betray Nationalist China and to admit Red Communist China into the United Nations, will the present incumbent in The White House please unequivocally so inform the American people?"

**RIGHT WING EDITORIALS WANTED**

Patriots, please send us right-wing, patriotic editorials which may appear in your local newspapers. However, please do not mark on them with pencil or crayon, as all newspaper editorials are reproduced in FREE MEN SPEAK by a photographic process. Thank you.

## PROMISES AND PERFORMANCE OF THE PRESENT ADMINISTRATION

#### THE MORAL POWER OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

There is only one force existing in the world today than can ultimately preserve our leadership and safety and prevent the infamous betrayal now being planned in cold blood. THAT IS THE MORAL POWER OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. This great moral force can be made effective only by placing a great courageous American leader in The White House in November 1956. THE PROPOSED SECOND TERM CANDIDATE MUST BE EXPOSED TO THE AMERICAN PUBLIC IN THE LIGHT OF HIS RECORD, and it is his record that is in question. He is the American leader and we will not be diverted by any talk about The White House Guard or Brother Milton or some sinister force working behind the President.

I did not make the record of the present Chief Executive but I have reviewed it carefully. It is a record which, despite the seemingly unanimous chorus of approval of the man himself, is actually disgraceful, despicable and completely destructive of any legitimate claim for a further term of office.

The Chief Executive as of February 29, 1956 has informed the American public of his readiness for a second term. The American public will now be presented with further campaign promises. Before passing judgment on these promises, it would be well for the citizen to review the promises which brought 33 million Americans to the polls in 1952 -- promises presented to the American people by the Republican candidate, the Republican Platform on which he chose to run, and on his behalf by those conservative Republican campaign colleagues whose aid he sought desperately in the dying days of the contest.

Let us now make a comparison between these promises and the performance thereof.

#### PROMISE AND PERFORMANCE

1. PROMISE. That he would go to Korea and bring the war to a victorious conclusion with an honorable and just peace.
   PERFORMANCE. Atruce suspending in ignominious defeat the first war America has ever lost. Desertion of our own fighting men, abandoned in torture chambers, many, in 1956, still held captive.
   Phony terms of truce disregarded from the start with the Red Chinese building up tremendous striking power in North Korea. Betrayal of Syngman Rhee and his loyal South Koreans to Communist spies parading as United Nations' representatives. Our own American boys assigned to the duty of protecting these spies against the righteous wrath of peoples whose ruin they are plotting

2. PROMISE. That he would vigorously, and in close cooperation with Congress, clean out all agents wherever found of that godless enemy, International Communism.
   PERFORMANCE. Conspired, under the cloak of executive immunity, used to shield his acts and those of his co-conspirators, to immobilize and destroy the one American Senator who above all others, was endeavoring to clean out Communist agents from vital spots. Joined, with carefully phrased double talk, in a propaganda move to discredit this Senator and succeeded in suspending his activities just as the Senator was about to reveal a scandalous situation in one of the nerve centers of the American defense organization.

3. PROMISE. That he would uphold the Constitution of the United States and see to it that no treaty or agreement with other countries deprives our citizens of the rights guaranteed them by the Federal Constitution.
   PERFORMANCE. Vigorously opposed the approval of the Bricker Amendment and by every form of influence on individual senators succeeded in stopping it in the Senate. Dismissed from his presence, with violence approaching the apoplectic, patriots representing millions of Americans fervently desiring adoption of the Bricker Amendment. Summarily dismissed from service in the Federal Government one of the most intelligent, capable and courageous of American citizens, Dean Clarence Manion, for the sole reason that Dean Manion refused to desist from his staunch support of the Bricker Amendment.

4. PROMISE. That he would stop the profligate spending of his predecessors, balance the budget, reduce taxes, check the descent of this great nation, founded on the principles of private enterprise, into the morass of socialism and collectivism.
   PERFORMANCE. Greater spending than before, budget balanced only by words, more taxation disguised as social security; support of every important scheme for the socialization of our nation, even including federal aid for education and the beginnings of socialized medicine. Has driven his socialist opponents (by title) to despair of any unpreempted areas for leftist action.

5. PROMISE. That he would make America once more the spiritual leader of the world, the despair of despots and the hope of the oppressed, a beacon to which the millions enslaved by the brutal, godless Communist captors may look for hope of that freedom which shall be our constant objective.
   PERFORMANCE. Fraternized, intimately, with smiles and handshakes with these "brutal captors", tolling the knell of hope for the enslaved millions, bitterly humiliating the American people and plunging the nation into new depths of moral degradation. Sent to the world, six months after Geneva, the following Christmas message: "The peaceful liberation of the captive peoples has been, is, and, until success is achieved, will continue to be a major goal of the United States Foreign Policy." By this great concontrast between deeds and words has aroused bitter anathema for the Chief Executive and for the American people whom he is supposed to represent, from the hundreds of millions of captives who had seen the smiling Geneva pictures.

There is the record for all to see and I invite anyone to challenge a line of it. Is it sufficient? Now that the pox of the second term ambition has burst to the surface there will be plenty more.

Is it not shameful and incredible that one with such a record should for a moment be considered as worthy of a second term? And yet as I write these words, in the early days of 1956, the American people, from coast to coast, are being persuaded to believe that this is a great American leader, courageous and capable, conscientious and ethically incorruptible, the man who must remain as leader for another four years, or failing that must, single-handed, select his successor. One must be impressed with the goose-stepping Republican National Committee and the 48 State Chairmen all praying, apparently, for a second term. And much more disturbing is the apparent support emanating from the most highly regarded figures in the Republican Party and in the American business world. John Bricker announces his support for the man who defeated his amendment, and from whom he has made no attempt to obtain any assurance of different treatment in this session of Congress. William Knowland indicates his support despite the betrayal by the second term candidate of those ideals for which Senator Knowland has so valiantly contended. Fred Crawford, for years my idol as representing everything fine in the American business world, forms a committee for the second term.

These men are splendid Americans. I recognize that their decisions must have been reached only after careful consideration and I must respect their decisions however they sadden me. I respect their decisions even though I deplore the logic which brings them to compromise with immoral forces.

I respect their decisions and I believe in return I am justified in asking respect for mine. I will welcome any correction of the record I have recited, but failing that I believe it is incumbent on no one to charge me with intemperate vehemence or ill-mannered violence. I find no gentle words for desertion, betrayal, blackmail, bribery. I did not place these words in the dictionary nor did I make the record which requires their use. I cannot remain silent while my country is being led into greater and greater moral degradation. In my world America represents something greater than 160,000,000 soulless animals. The soul of a single American boy held captive in a Communist torture chamber far outweighs the value of 160,000,000 carcasses. The horrible spectacle of potential mass destruction of human life currently confronting us, does not in the slightest degree alter the spiritual values, the absolute necessity of adherence to moral ideals and standards whatever the cost. Nor does the spectre of an economic depression warrant any American politician or business man in pressing the government of the United States further into the role of filching money from widows and orphans through inflationary processes. I will continue to proclaim from coast to coast that here in America, if nowhere else in the world, the soul shall not die before the body.

By GEORGE S. MONTGOMERY, Jr.
488 Madison Avenue, New York 22, N. Y.

## WARNS DEFENSE POLICY LEADS TO SOCIALISM

### Gen. Fellers Urges Cut in Arms Spending

BY JOHNSON KANADY
[Chicago Tribune Press Service]

Springfield, Ill., March 12—America is on the military road to socialism, Brig. Gen. Bonner Fellers, former member of Gen. MacArthur's staff, said today in an address at the 60th annual Illinois conference of the Daughters of the American Revolution.

While military uses now require two-thirds of the budget, he said, it is not being used to build up defenses of this country. He rapped what he called collective security measures as practiced in Korea. The United States provided 95 per cent of the forces there, with the exception of the Koreans, and "probably paid all the bills," he said.

**Calls Plan a "Fallacy"**

Under the collective security "fallacy," Fellers said, each nation is supposed to provide what it is best able to contribute. Some would contribute man power, some natural resources, and some industry. The plan would then be, he said, to combine these elements into a terrific striking force.

But if World War III comes, Fellers insisted, man power will not be the decisive element. The decision will be in the air, he said, and in reality the allies of the United States would become additional American defense obligations.

**Urges Spending Cut**

Speaking on the theme that "only Americans can defend America," Fellers told the 500 D. A. R. delegates that he advocates a cut in defense spending, and a shift to hemisphere defense. Overwhelming American air power can prevent war, he said, and it can be obtained at less cost than the widespread defense pattern set up by the theory of collective security.

Americans are the only people, Fellers said, who "will fight for someone else and ask nothing for it."

Universal conscription is designed to provide an army to occupy the world and not to defend America, Fellers said, and the United States army, thru a series of agreements is "committed to the ground defense of Europe."

#### WHO'S FOR WORLD GOVERNMENT?

By W. Henry MacFarland, Jr.

There are, for example, the Anglophiles whose dream is a United States of America re-linked militarily, economically and politically with the 'Mother Country,' Great Britain. Operating at the international level thru such devices as the Rhodes Scholarship Fund, the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, the English Speaking Union and the Atlantic Union Committee, these Tories constitute one of the most powerful forces behind the movement which seeks the creation of a world super-state.

World Government is also sought by the Soviet Union, whose World Communist Conspirators would find it much simpler to infiltrate and eventually control such a single global super-state than to continue their present plan of subversion, nation - by - nation, region - by-region. A World State possessing a monopoly of atomic and hydrogen weapons would most certainly provide for the 'party' in power the wherewithal for imposing its dictatorship not upon a mere continent but over the Earth itself!

As the late Franklin D. Roosevelt said: "Things don't just happen; they are planned that way."

**The penalty good men pay for indifference to public affairs, is to be ruled by evil men.**
**—Plato.**

BOOK REVIEW

## THE RETURN OF ADAM SMITH

By George S. Montgomery, Jr.

(Published by The Caxton Printers, Ltd.)

The issues we are facing now in our country are gradually reaching a climax. These are individualism versus collectivism; capitalism versus socialism; man versus the state.

A strong America is needed to maintain her principles of economic freedom for all. And a strong America is composed of men and women who are positive in their rededication to the task of keeping America free.

Here, in this book, is the constructive answer to youth and to all who question and seek for something to abide by. Here is a sturdy floor to stand upon.

The threshold of our economic principles is based upon the brilliant, sound, and sensible *Wealth of Nations* by Adam Smith, known as the "father of political economy." And in this thoughtful re-examination of the theories of Adam Smith, it is pointed out that the application of Smith's ideas to our modern and complex society offer in their enduring and reasonable practicality the way to future prosperity, progress, and security.

Without emotionalism or prejudice, George Montgomery, Jr., presents a book which will be found provocative and challenging. In his digressions on the study of freedom and freedom's enemies, he exposes some modern economists who have shelved Adam Smith as an outmoded and obsolete theorist. Yet this lucid-minded author observes that there are some ideas which can never become obsolete, the ideas men live by and fight for which are embodied in the practice and application of individual liberties and freedom.

In the present ideological war, this book offers a return to Adam Smith as a logical return to natural laws, and to the continuation of America's prosperity and industrial expansion. It is a book which should be read and studied carefully by every American citizen.

(To obtain your copy of THE RETURN OF ADAM SMITH, just send $2.50 to Free Men Speak, Inc., 7314 Zimpel St., New Orleans, La., and your copy will be sent to you, postpaid.)

## PATRIOTS AT WORK
## by
## Phoebe Courtney

OUT-OF-PRINT ISSUES OF "THE EDUCATIONAL REVIEWER"

MRS. LUCILLE CARDIN CRAIN, 238 East 23rd St., New York, N.Y., announces that she has available sets (consisting of 18 issues) of THE EDUCATIONAL REVIEWER. Mrs. Cardin writes: "Even though it died with the October 1953 issue, the set remains a sadly eloquent record of the textbooks of the period; hence its historical value, if I may say so."

Those readers of FREE MEN SPEAK who are fighting the Communist-Socialist infiltration in our schools will find this set of great value.

The price is $5.00 for the set, including postage.

Also available from Mrs. Crain is the extremely valuable pamphlet entitled, "Packaged Thinking for Women" which discusses how the 10,000,000 women who belong to clubs in America are being "brainwashed" by the Socialist-Internationalist, "thought leaders" who dominate the policies of these clubs and women's organizations. The price of this pamphlet is 25¢ each, or 10 copies for $1.50. Same address as above.

THE INDIANA PRO-CONSTITUTION FORUM

MARYESTHER WILLIAMSON, 6402 Broadway, Indianapolis, Ind., writes that she is Co-Ordinator of the Indiana Pro-Constitution Forum that meets twice monthly in the Indiana War Memorial in Indianapolis, to hear patriotic speakers, public officials and to discuss the various threats to the Constitution. She further states that the Forum has served as a sounding board for candidates for public office. If you live in the vicinity and are interested in attending any of the meeting, just contact Mrs. Williamson at the above address.

Mrs. Williamson has issued an interesting sheet which is entitled "Political Highlights", together with a good check-list of questions to ask all potential candidates for office. The cost for both is $1.00 for 12 succeeding issues.

FACTS ON THE N.E.A.

MISS ELIZABETH KNAUSS, 2720 Jefferson Ave., Davenport, Iowa, has published a little pocket-size 4-page pamphlet entitled "A Brief Description of, and Some Facts Regarding THE NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION". The price of the pamphlet is 5¢ each.

PATRIOTIC STAMPS

MOLLY STARK, whose address is Box 67, Falmouth, Mass., has beautiful patriotic stamps available. Send for samples today.

TAPED RECORDINGS AVAILABLE

THE ORGANIZATION TO REPEAL FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (ORFIT), 3757 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles 5, Calif. has on hand tape recordings of speeches by Gen. Bonner Fellers, Gov. Bracken Lee, Dean Clarence Manion, Miss Vivien Kellems, Mrs. Mary D. Cain, Miss Corinne Griffith and Mr. Charles Colburn. Your local radio station will be glad to play these speeches as a Public Service under the sponsorship of your Legion Post or patriotic organization. Send for details today at above address.

## LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

A YANKEE LOOKS TO THE SOUTH

". . . . We can only hope and pray that out of Dixieland will emerge a man of the caliber of Senator Strom Thurmond, Senator Byrd, Senator Eastland and many others who are capable of leading this country out of the spider web of intrigue being woven about us by this crimson, mongrel-monstrosity, Communist-Socialism. I am a northern businessman who sees our freedom being destroyed by a politically packed supreme court who can by-pass and circumvent our constitution . -- I, like a few million more 'Damn Yankees" are getting se fed up to the neck by what has transpired in Washington during the past 24 years that we would gladly follow and vote for any patriotic southern gentleman who is a true, loyal American, who will defend our constitution, defend states rights, throw out the Sovieteers and recapture America for Americans - His political affiliations are immaterial. -- We no longer have a two party system. -- Us Yankees can only look to the patriots of the solid South for leadership to rescue this once proud nation from the Schemers, Dreamers, Internationalists and Sovieteers. -- Let's unite and throw the rascals out before it's too late.

. . . . . Frank Harris, Boaz, Wisconsin.

IKE'S TRADE-SECRETS-WITH-THE-COMMUNISTS PLAN

"I cannot think of anything more insane than letting Red planes fly over the United States as Eisenhower proposes . . . . We must form a Conservative Coalition - a North-South Party before the crowd in Washington does us in!"

. . . . . E.S. Powell, Rt. 1, Deerfield, Ill.

NO STATE WILL BE SAFE

"We certainly must resist the Supreme Court's usurpation of power for the South is but a test case, and if they (the Court) succeed in this instance, other sections, states and organizations will get theirs. Nip it in the bud decisively. In its fight against the Supreme Court's usurpation of States Rights, the South should also include the second instance of usurpation of power, namely, sanctioning of Federal price-fixing, as in the case of Texas gas. The South should make it a double-headed attack."

. . . . . Bessie L. Hilliard, 2850 Eastwood Ave., Chicago, Ill.

WHAT ABOUT OUR ABANDONED GI's?

"With Memorial Day approaching, it seems a proper time to demand what, if anything, is being done about that most ignoble act of betrayal in our history - the abandonment of our prisoners of war.

"Have we become so propagandized with paper prosperity and powderkeg peace that decency, loyalty and honor are just meaningless words? Can this nation long survive when it is so weakened by treachery within that we dare not speak for our own?" . . . . Mrs. Dorothy Dreiling, Somis, Calif.

**dear reader.....**

UNLESS YOU ARE A PAID SUBSCRIBER TO THIS NEWSPAPER, THIS FREE SAMPLE COPY MAY BE THE ONLY ONE YOU RECEIVE.

USE COUPON BELOW TO SUBSCRIBE

**SUBSCRIPTION FORM**

I WISH TO BECOME A SUBSCRIBER TO FREE MEN SPEAK.

NAME_____

ADDRESS_____

CITY AND STATE_____

Please send me the next 12 issues - $3.00_____

Please send me the next 24 issues - $6.00_____

Please send me a SPECIAL TRIAL OFFER 4 issues for $1.00 _____

Please make check payable to FREE MEN SPEAK, INC. 7314 Zimple Street, New Orleans, La. (A)

## NEW PARTY ACTIVITY REPORT
## News Round-up by States

"Free men and women of this country, like their forebears in 1776 will not abide tyranny and have determined to use every means to resist it. ONE PARTY RULE IS TYRANNY, and one party rule is what we have today!

"God willing the NEW PARTY movement willl show the way to many millions of American conservatives who today have no place to go. This is no "third party" idea, but a sound traditionally American Second Party."

Lt. Gen. P. A. del Valle
U.S.M.C. (Ret.)
Temporary Chairman
Interim Committee for a New Party

### Ohio

An enthusiastic crowd of over 200 strong cheered and applauded during a New Party Rally held at the Sheraton-Gibson Hotel in Cincinnati on April 8th, 1956. Sponsored by WOMEN FOR GOD AND COUNTRY of Cincinnati, the overflow audience applauded the statement by James Mannix, Greenville Ohio farmer, that today there "is not 50¢ worth of difference between the two parties" as he referred to the Socialism so evident in the farm program.

Mr. Edward W. Focke, Dayton Attorney, spoke of his experience in fighting the Income Tax which he declared to be an unconstitutional invasion of property rights and as such was part of the Marxist plan for the abolition of private property.

James Mannix, Chairman of the Independent Farmers of Ohio, charged that the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture had succeeded in "collectivizing and controlling" the farmers through illegal procedures definitely contrary to the meaning and intent of the Constitution. He termed the acreage control "elections" both "fraudulent and completely illegal" and that certain farmers known to be against both subsidy and control were prevented from participating in the voting.

Joe Miller, Treasurer of the Independent Farmers outlined that although regulations had decreased production in the United States there was a continuing increase in the importation of the very items that were being controlled in America!

Kent Courtney, Publisher of Free Men Speak, as the final speaker on the afternoon forum declared that all the problems of these independent Farmers would be solved by the advent of a New Political Party that would respect the Constitution and the individual liberties guaranteed under the Bill of Rights. His talk also included the fact that Governor Lausche would not be acceptable to Southern Democrats as a possible candidate for President. Mr. Courtney outlined the key position of the South in the fight for States Rights in which every state has a tremendous stake.

The audience included persons interested in the New Party from all over Ohio. Also present were new party enthusiasts from Wisconsin, Michigan and Wisconsin.

Loud applause rang out when candidates for the presidency under the New Party banner were mentioned such as Senator Harry Flood Byrd, Senator William E. Jenner, Senator Strom Thurmond, Gov. J. Bracken Lee, and Sen. James O. Eastland.

### Ohio

Following two days of meetings in Cincinnati with representatives from all over the state attending a NEW PARTY COMMITTEE has been formed for Ohio. For information write to the New Party Committee in care of P. O. Box 96, Price Hill Station, Cincinnati 5, Ohio.

### Indiana

Colonel L. P. Mongan, 5900 Rockville Road, Indianapolis, is the New Party organizer in Indiana. He states that chairmen are being appointed for each Congressional District in his state.

### Wisconsin

Mr. Tony Michetti of 2921 - 48th St., Kenosha, Wisconsin reports the forming of a STATES RIGHTS PARTY in Wisconsin and that progress is being made to get the required signatures on the nominating petitions so that Wisconsin voters will have a choice in the November elections.

### New York

Mr. Dan Sawyer reports that New York voters will be given a choice of candidates in November!

This organization of conservatives and Constitutionalists are obtaining the necessary signatures to get their party on the ballot.

We are also informed that no political party can use the word AMERICAN in New York State! Such is the condition of this Republic!

If you wish to help in this patriotic work write to INDEPENDENTS OF NEW YORK, 51 East 42nd Street, New York 17, N. Y.

### New Mexico

Mr. Les. Plummer, P. O. Box 868, Artesia, New Mexico writes that THE NEW PARTY will be on the ballot in New Mexico in 1956 and that the state-wide organization of the party is proceeding according to plan. New Party members are recruited in New Mexico through an advertising plan carried out in all the newspapers of the state. Financial support of the party is gained through pledged monthly support from every member of the party. You can obtain a copy of their recruiting folder by writing NEW PARTY, P.O. Box 868, Artesia, New Mexico.

### Massachusetts

For information on how you can help the formation of a new party in Massachusetts please contact the Temporary Chairman of THE AMERICAN PARTY in Massachusetts, Mr. J. P. Gardiner, 21 Cumberland Avenue, Brookline, Mass. A New Party Rally is being planned for the near future!

### Mississippi

Mrs. Mary Cain, editor of the Summit Sun, Summit, Miss. is the person for you to contact with regard to new party plans in Miss.

### Texas

The affiliate of the new party movement in Texas is the Constitution Party which has pledged itself in its state convention in Houston to work fully with all other States Rights Political groups that seek a return to Constitutional principles.

To find the name of the chairman of your congressional district in Texas, please write to Mr. Philip Lee Eubank, P. O. Box 8105, San Antonia, Texas. Ask also for a recent copy of their bulletin: CONSTITUTION PRESS.

## PLAN RALLIES

### Michigan

The Independent Farmers of Michigan, Inc., are planning a patriotic Rally for Sunday May 6th in order to discuss the Farm Problem with relation to the establishment of a New Party. For details of this meeting write to Ralph Shinabery, RFD #2, Hudson, Michigan.

NEW PARTY RALLY IN OHIO

Dayton, Ohio, April 10. (American Press Assn.)

"All Americans in Ohio who are interested in forming a New Political Party are invited to attend a New Party Rally to be held in Dayton on Saturday, May 5th." announced Mr. H. W. Binegar Temporary Chairman of the OHIO NEW PARTY COMMITTEE for the Dayton Area.

"We expect that the State Central Committee of the New Party to meet at this same time and we hope to name our National Committeeman at this time." said Mr. Binegar, a Dayton civic leader.

For further details on this meeting write Mr. H. W. Binegar, P.O. Box 434, Dayton, Ohio!

SEN. EASTLAND TO ADDRESS RALLY

On May 16 Sen. James Eastland of Mississippi will address a rally to be held under the auspices of Texas Citizens' Council of Greater Houston, the Galena Park Citizens' Council and the Baytown Citizens' Council. The meeting will be held at 8:00 PM, Music Hall, Houston, Tex. For further information contact: Mrs. J. R. Barnett, 2301 Southmore Blvd., Houston 4, Tex.

DO YOU WISH TO JOIN THE NEW PARTY?

If you live in any of the states mentioned above you can get directly in touch with the persons mentioned. All of these chairmen and organizers need additional manpower to fill out their organizations.

If your state is not mentioned please write to NEW PARTY, P. O. Box 4168, New Orleans 18, Louisiana and you will be put in touch with other persons in your state who have indicated their interest in forming a new party.

## IKE CIVIL RIGHTS PROPOSALS HIT
### Means Federal Gestapo, Says Ellender

WASHINGTON, April 14

Sen. Ellender (D-La.) said Saturday the Eisenhower administration's civil rights program "smacks of totalitarianism" and would bring "federal gestapo" into local communities.

"It is the first step in what will evidently be an attempt to place under federal jurisdiction not only the basic rights of states and local governments but the individual and personal rights of the people as well.".

Ellender likewise criticized the proposal to let individuals, who believe their civil rights have been violated, bring action in federal court, without first going thrpugh a state court.

"The entire approach advanced by the administration in its so-called civil rights proposals smacks of totalitarianism, not of constitutional government as we know it," Ellender said.

## HOLMAN URGES SUPPORT OF NEW "BRICKER"

(Excerpts from a statement by Frank E. Holman, For President, American Bar Association)

On March 5, 1956, the Senate Judiciary Committee by a vote of eleven to two, favorably reported in the "Bricker" Amendment to the following form:

Section 1. A provision of a treaty or other international agreement which conflicts with any provision of this Constitution shall not be of any force or effect.

Section 2. On the question of advising and consenting to the ratification of a treaty, the vote shall be determined by yeas and nays, and the names of the persons voting for and against shall be entered on the Journal of the Senate.

You will note that the foregoing text eliminates the controversial "which" clause, and ties the Amendment down to two simple propositions -- one, that provisions of a treaty or other international agreement which conflict with any provision of the Constitution are to be of no force and effect; second, that in the ratification of a treaty, the vote shall be determined by yeas and nays, and the names of the Senators voting for and against shall be entered on the Journal of the Senate.

If a provision of a treaty or other international agreement, when questioned in the courts, is to be tested by whether it conflicts with the Constitution as a whole, it would leave a Court free to speculate and hold the treaty provision valid on some over-all theory of Constitutional law. Whereas, if a provision of a treaty is violative of any particular section of the Constitution, then a court is barred from indulging in general constitutional theories and must measure the provision of the treaty against the specific language or prohibitions of a particular section of the Constitution. For example, if there is a provision in a treaty like a proposed Covenant on Human Rights, dealing with the matter of freedom of speech or of press, it can be of no force and effect under the new text of amendment, if it conflicts with the first provision of our Bill of Rights standing alone.

The present Amendment is certainly a big improvement over the "George" Amendment, which was nearly passed at that time. Undoubtedly, when the present text comes up for consideration in the Senate, various strengthening and weakening amendments will be proposed. Unless the supporters of the Bricker Amendment at the "grass roots" level marshall their forces behind the present text recommended by the Judiciary Committee, it could easily happen that a text would be passed not nearly as effective as the one now recommended.

## New Party Stamps Available

MARCIA MATTHEWS, P.O. Box 1151, McAllen, Texas, now has beautiful red, white and blue NEW PARTY stamps available.

They look like this:

ORDER YOURS TODAY

90 STAMPS FOR $1.00

PATRIOTIC ORGANIZATIONS !

UP TO

100 FREE COPIES

OF FREE MEN SPEAK

AVAILABLE TO YOU

PLEASE WRITE US

YOUR NEEDS

SEND IN NAMES FOR OUR MAILING LIST.

* * * * * * *

PLEASE SEND ONLY NAMES OF PERSONS WHOM YOU THINK WOULD BE INTERESTED IN READING THIS NEWSPAPER. WE SHALL BE HAPPY TO SEND THEM A SAMPLE COPY.

## 250,000 Copies of FMS

FELLOW AMERICANS:

Since FREE MEN SPEAK began publication in January of last year, we have, through your subscriptions, gift subscriptions and DONATIONS distributed over 232,000 copies of FREE MEN SPEAK!

With this current issue we will reach the figure of 1/4 of a million copies distributed!

Your contributions made it possible for us to print and distribute 35,000 copies of the February-March issue.

If you believe in the work we are doing..if you believe that the message of FREE MEN SPEAK should have an even wider distribution, we ask that you contribute to our "Distribution Fund" so that this newspaper can be sent to an ever-increasing number of Americans each month.

Please fill out the coupon below and attach to your check or money order.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Free Men Speak, Inc.
7314 Zimpel St.
New Orleans, La.

Attached is my contribution of $_____ for the DISTRIBUTION FUND.

NAME_____

ADDRESS_____

CITY & STATE_____

## LEE STARTS U.S. TAX SUIT

By HOWARD S. BENEDICT

SALT LAKE CITY, April 14

Gov. J. Bracken Lee bid today for a third four-year term as Utah's governor. His formal announcement came only a few hours after he promised to carry to the nation's highest court his challenge of the federal government's foreign aid program.

**Challenges US Policy**

Lee contends it is unconstitutional for the federal government to use tax revenue to aid foreign nations.

"There is no provision anywhere in the Constitution granting the U.S. government the right to appropriate taxpayers' money for support of foreign nations," he said today. "My refusal to pay my tax is intended to force a court case of that contention.

"I intend to fight in every district court in the country and in the Supreme Court, if necessary, to prove my case."

"I have my attorneys preparing briefs and I expect to take the case into court in about 60 days," Lee said. "At that time I will ask the government for a declaratory judgment."

Lee said he will take the case first to U.S. District Court in Salt Lake City.

**Russia Rejects Prayers At Meetings of U.N.**

The Soviet Union rejected a United States suggestion that sessions of the U.N. General Assembly be opened with audible prayer.

The prayer proposal was made in December by Henry Cabot Lodge, Jr., chief United States delegate, in a letter to all U.N. delegations. He suggested that the prayer be offered, on a rotation basis, by clergymen representing the religions with adherents among U.N. member countries.

The Soviet delegation asked the Assembly to continue the present practice, started in 1949, of opening and closing each annual session with a minute for silent prayer or meditation.

New Slogan

The Republican National Committee has adopted a new slogan, "Stick with Ike" to replace the "I Like Ike" of '52. Samples are now in the mail to 10,000 state and local Republican organizations. "Stick with Ike" is printed on wall and car stickers and on match folders with an adhesive surface. (Administration critics here spoof that the GOP Committee has used the right verb in the wrong tense.)

From "NATIONAL REVIEW"

## PROGRESSIVE EDUCATION IS COMMUNIST-INSPIRED

N.E.A. Leaders and Writers have Subversive Associations with Communist and Socialist Organizations.

BOOK REVIEW

The headlines above are the only conclusion that can be reached after reading a new book entitled "Progressive Education is REDucation" by Kitty Jones and Robert L. Olivier.

This book outlines the losing fight of one American school principal against a Board of Education that was stacked with "liberals and progressives" against the educational theories of Robert L. Olivier.

Progressive Education, according to Olivier is responsible for juvenile delinquency, for downgrading of education in the secondary and primary schools of America, and for the poor product that is turned out which resulted in soldiers in Korea not being able to resist Communist "brainwashing."

This book is a must for all parents who wish to find out whether their children are being educated or "trained."

Included is a check list by which the interested parent can determine the degree of "progressiveism" that exists in a school.

The book published by Meador Publishing company in 1956 can be used by parents to check the degree of progressiveism in their schools.

Here are a few identifying characteristics of a Progressive School:

1. Absence of Regular report cards. No honor rolls.
2. No competitive grading of papers and problems.
3. Avoidance of drill and memorization to produce excellence.
4. Students choose their own methods and topics of study.
5. Little or no "homework" Block Promotion, No Failures.
6. Lots of special activities not connected with the process of learning new subject matter.
7. Children in top grades of elementary school who cannot read well.
8. Remedial classes.
9. Special educational activities in Camping, Driving, safety, Social living, Sex instruction, and "guidance!"

To order this expose of the Communist Influences in the N.E.A. please send $3.00 to FREE MEN SPEAK, P. O. Box 4168 New Orleans 18, Louisiana.

## AS IT LOOKS TO ME

### by Sally Stratton

How times have changed! Only a few short years ago when Harry and his cronies were up to their necks in deep freezes, mink coats and red herrings, it was considered a sin and a crime for any Democrat to accept such gifts. Today we have a so-called Republican President who will leave the White House with more loot than all the Democrats put together. Lucky for Ike, he's surrounded by such slick publicity and propaganda experts that they've even convinced the Democrats that IT'S SACRILEGIOUS TO CRITICIZE HIM!

$4,000 TRACTOR A "GIFT"

The latest and most spectacular gift yet accepted by Ike is a $4,000 tractor complete with built-in radio, cigaret lighter and a moo gadget for calling the cows. What, no golf clubs! Wonder what the poor farmers who have to work around the clock with old-fashioned tractors, then don't even end up with enough to live on, think of this deal?

IT'S A CRIME TO CRITICIZE IKE

Only a few short years ago it was considered a crime to be pro-Communist or to steal secrets for the Reds. Today we have a President who INVITES COMMUNIST RUSSIAN AGENTS TO VISIT OUR COUNTRY AND TO BRING ALONG THEIR CAMERAS! IKE NOT ONLY WANTS TO GIVE AWAY ALL OF OUR DEFENSE SECRETS, but to station Russian soldiers on American soil to see that they're not gypped. The only crime now is to criticize Ike.

OUR "FOREIGN" FOREIGN POLICY

The issue that swept this so-called Republican administration into power in 1952 was foreign policy. Now we have Ike and Dulles pleading with the politicians and voters to just skip it in 1956. Our foreign policy is DESTROYING OUR REPUBLIC, but it is even more disastrous under Ike and Dulles than it was under Truman and Acheson.

Foreign policy should be the No. 1 issue in 1956. There is no shooting war right now but we've abandoned thousands of American boys from Truman's police action to rot in Red prisons. We still have troops stationed all over the globe just waiting like sitting ducks ready to sacrifice their lives for foreigners the minute the Russians become trigger happy. WHY SHOULDN'T SUCH POLICIES BE CRITICIZED UNDER A REPUBLICAN LABEL? We still have no American policy. IT'S JUST AS FOREIGN NOW AS IT WAS UNDER ROOSEVELT AND TRUMAN.

PALACE GUARD PLACES IKE ABOVE CRITICISM

If Ike is as healthy as Sherman Adams and Len Hall say he is, then he must be able to take all the brickbats that go with a political campaign. NO AMERICAN PRESIDENT OR ANY OTHER POLITICIAN SHOULD BE IMMUNE FROM CRITICISM. I hope the '1956 campaign will be a good old - fashioned knock-down, drag-out battle. Let's hope this administration will be exposed just as mercilessly as the Republicans exposed the SAME POLICIES, when the label was DEMOCRAT.

No Republican can win when he has to plead with us voters to "elect me in '56 so I can keep my '52 campaign promises". We want deeds now. Not promises.

I have never been one of Ike's admirers and I get fed up with those Republicans who say to me "I don't like him either but you don't want Adlai do you?" Of course I don't want Adlai, or Kefauver or Harriman, but why should we have to choose between Ike and the Democrat candidates, when we have such capable men as Harry Byrd, Strom Thurmond, Eastland, J. Bracken Lee, and Jenner?

OUR ONLY HOPE LIES IN THE SOUTH

Conservatives all over the nation are looking to the Southern Democrats now to lead the way in 1956. The sympathy of the majority of Americans is with the South over the States Right issue. Southerners have been betrayed by their own party and now by the Eisenhower administration.

The time has come for Conservatives of both parties to PUT COUNTRY ABOVE PARTY LABELS.

If you would like further information on Sally Stratton's "Nix! in '56", Club, write her at: 106 Central Park South, New York 19, N. Y.